

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

07/457,272 12/27/89

HINDLEY

R

01321386

HOPGOOD, CALIMAFDE,	KALXL.
BLAUSTEIN & JUDLOWE	
60 EAST 42ND ST.	
NEW YORKS MY 101A5	

GERSTL#R

122

02/06/90

/	/	
<b>2</b> TI	nis a	oplication has been examined Responsive to communication filed on This action is made final.
sho allur	rtene e to	ad statutory period for response to this action is set to expire nonth(s), month(s), days from the date of this letter. respond within the period for response will cause the application to become abandoned. 35 U.S.C. 133
'art i		THE FOLLOWING ATTACHMENT(S) ARE PART OF THIS ACTION:
1. 3. 5.		Notice of References Cited by Examiner, PTO-892.  Notice of Art Cited by Applicant, PTO-1449.  Information on How to Effect Drawing Changes, PTO-1474.
art il	ļ	SUMMARY OF ACTION
1.	Ø	Claims are pending in the application
		Of the above, claims are withdrawn from consideration.
2.		Claims have been cancelled.
3.		Claims are allowed.
4.	d	Claims are rejected.
5.		Claims are objected to.
6.		Claims are subject to restriction or election requirement.
7.		This application has been filed with informal drawings under 37 C.F.R. 1.85 which are acceptable for examination purposes.
8.		Formal drawings are required in response to this Office action.
9.		The corrected or substitute drawings have been received on Under 37 C.F.R. 1.84 these drawings are acceptable not acceptable (see explanation or Notice re Patent Drawing, PTO-948).
10.	Ċ	The proposed additional or substitute sheet(s) of drawings, filed on has (have) been approved by the examiner disapproved by the examiner (see explanation).
11.		The proposed drawing correction, filed on, has been  approved.  disapproved (see explanation).
12.		Acknowledgment is made of the claim for priority under U.S.C. 119. The certified copy has $\Box$ been received $\Box$ not been received
		been filed in parent application, serial no; filed on;
13.		Since this application appears to be in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.

Serial No. 07/457,272 Art Unit 122

Claims 1, 2, 49 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first and second paragraphs, as the claimed invention is not described in such full, clear, concise and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to make and use the same, and/or for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 49 fails to recite use and effective amount. Claim 1 fails to recite critical hydrocarbyl and structural limitations on heterocyclic, acyl, alkyl, aryl, and substituted. It is not apparent how  $A_2$  may have five substituents on the four remaining carbons.

Claims 1-43, 49-51 are provisionally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 3-46 of copending application Serial No. 238764.

This is a provisional double patenting rejection since the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

Claims 44-48 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness type double patenting as being unpatentable over claim 3 of copending application Serial No. 238764. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they are

Serial No. 07/457,272

Art Unit 122

encompassed in the generic claim.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

The obviousness type double patenting rejection is a judicially established doctrine based upon public policy and is primarily intended to prevent prolongation of the patent term by prohibiting claims in a second patent not patentably distinct from claims in a first patent. In re Vogel, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970). A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(b) would overcome an actual or provisional rejection on this ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.78(d).

This is a continuation of applicant's earlier application Serial No. 238,764. All rejected claims are drawn to the same invention claimed in the earlier application and could have been finally rejected on the grounds or art of record in the next Office action if they had been entered in the earlier application.

Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL even though it is a first action in this case. See MPEP 706.07(b).

Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a). The practice of automatically extending the shortened statutory period an additional month upon the filing of a timely first response to a final rejection has been discontinued by the Office. See 1021 TMOG 35.

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE TO THIS FINAL ACTION IS SET TO EXPIRE THREE (3) MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS ACTION. IN THE EVENT A FIRST RESPONSE IS

Serial No. 07/457,272

Art Unit 122

FILED WITHIN TWO (2) MONTHS OF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION AND THE ADVISORY ACTION IS NOT MAILED UNTIL AFTER THE END OF THE THREE (3) MONTH SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD, THEN THE SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD WILL EXPIRE ON THE DATE THE ADVISORY ACTION IS MAILED, AND ANY EXTENSION FEE PURSUANT TO 37 CFR 1.136(a) WILL BE CALCULATED FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THE ADVISORY ACTION. IN NO EVENT WILL THE STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RESPONSE EXPIRE LATER THAN SIX (6) MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THIS FINAL ACTION.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Gerstl whose telephone number is (703) 557-0441.

Any inquiry of a general nature, or relating to the status of this application, should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703)

02/1/90;rbb

ROBERT GERSOL PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 122